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Description

This package contains two functions, NO.PING.PONG and PLOT_NO.PING.PONG, for revealing what happens when effect size estimates from previous studies are taken into account when evaluating each new dataset in a study sequence. The analyses can be conducted for cumulative meta-analyses and for Bayesian data analyses. The findings from these methods can be contrasted with those from null hypothesis statistical significance testing, which does not take previous findings into account.

The package contains sample data for a wide selection of research topics that can be used to illustrate why previous findings should be taken into account when attempting to reach a conclusion about an effect when evaluating a new dataset. Jointly considering previous findings along with new data is more likely to result in correct conclusions than does the traditional practice of not incorporating previous findings and which often results in a back and forth ping-pong of conclusions when evaluating a sequence of studies.
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Description

Converts effect sizes, including r to d or g, and d or g to r, d, or g.

Usage

CONVERT_ES(ES, ES_var = NULL, ES_type_IN=’r’, ES_type_OUT=’g’, totalN = NULL, grp1_N = NULL, grp2_N = NULL, gvar_type_OUT = ’d’, verbose = TRUE)
Arguments

ES The effect sizes.
ES_var (optional) The variances of the effect sizes.
ES_type_IN The type of effect sizes in ES. The options are 'r' (the default), 'd', or 'g'.
ES_type_OUT The type of effect sizes for the output. The options are 'r' (the default), 'd', and 'g'.
totalN (optional) The total N for each study in ES.
grp1_N (optional) The N for group 1.
grp2_N (optional) The N for group 2.
gvar_type_OUT (optional) The kind of SMD variance.
verbose (optional) Should detailed results be displayed in console? TRUE (default) or FALSE

Details

This function converts r, d, and g effect sizes to r, d, or g effect sizes using conventional formulas (Borenstein & Hedges, 2019; Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein, 2009). The effect size variances are also computed if sufficient data are provided as input.

The gvar_type_OUT argument provides a choice between d or g effect size variances whenever ES_type_OUT is set to g. The reason for this option is that authors of published meta-analyses sometimes report d variances when their analyses were conducted on g effect sizes. This is presumably not a wise practice, but it also does not make much difference in the computed values.

Value

An object of class "data.frame". The object is a list containing the following possible components:

g The g effect sizes.
Vg The variances of the g effect sizes.
d The d effect sizes.
Vd The variances of the d effect sizes.
r The r effect sizes.
Vr The variances of the r effect sizes.
totalNs The totalNs used in the analyses.

Author(s)

Brian P. O'Connor
data_NPP

References


Examples

# convert d effect sizes to r
head(data_NPP$Omega3_Depression)
CONVERT_ES(ES = data_NPP$Omega3_Depression$SMD, ES_var = NULL, ES_type_IN='d', ES_type_OUT='r',
grp1_N = data_NPP$Omega3_Depression$CN, grp2_N = data_NPP$Omega3_Depression$EN)

# convert r effect sizes to g
head(data_NPP$Math_Performance)
CONVERT_ES(ES = data_NPP$Math_Performance$r, ES_var = NULL, ES_type_IN='r', ES_type_OUT='g',
totalN = data_NPP$Math_Performance$N)

data_NPP
data_NPP

Description

A list with variety of example datasets

Usage

data(data_NPP)

Details

A list with the following elements:

Alcohol_Intake is data from a meta-analysis on 36 effect sizes (Hedges g values) for the effect of manipulations of social influences on perceived norms regarding alcohol intake and on reductions in alcohol intake (Prestwich, Kellar, Conner, Lawton, Gardner, & Turgut, 2016, Table 2, p. 850).

Anxiety_Therapy is data from a meta-analysis on 81 effect sizes (Hedges g values) for the pre-post difference between transdiagnostic cognitive behavior therapy and anxiety (Pearl & Norton, 2017, Figure 2, p. 14).

Cannabis_Psychosis is data from a meta-analysis on 38 effect sizes (standardized mean differences) from comparisons of the age at onset of psychosis in cannabis-using groups with the age at
onset of psychosis in noncannabis-using groups (Large et al., 2001). The data are from the "eFigure" in the Supplementary Material.

**CBT_Autism** is data from Weston, Hodgekins, and Langdon (2016), who reported findings from a meta-analysis of 17 studies on the effectiveness of CBT on affective symptoms for people with autistic spectrum disorders (median N = 36). The final effect size, in correlation coefficient metric, was .11.

**CBT_Social_Anxiety** is data from Kampmanna, Emmelkamp, and Morina (2016, Figure 4), who reported findings from a meta-analysis of 24 studies on internet delivered cognitive behavior therapy (vs. control conditions) for social anxiety disorder (median N = 65). The final effect size, in correlation coefficient metric, was .32.

**Ego_Depletion** is data from the pre-registered, replication studies for the ego-depletion effect (Hagger et al., 2016). These data were from 23 laboratories (N = 2141).

**Hypomanic_BIS** is data from Katz, Naftalovich, Matanky, and Yovel (2021), who reported findings from a meta-analysis of 19 studies on hypomanic personality tendencies and behavioral inhibition system sensitivity (median N = 230). The final effect size, in correlation coefficient metric, was -.04.

**IAT_Discrimination** is data from a meta-analysis on 298 effect sizes (r values) for the association between scores on the Implicit Association Test (IAT) and ethnic and racial discrimination (Oswald, Mitchell, Blanton, Jaccard, & Tetlock (2013).

**Many_Labs** is a list with summary data for eight pre-registered datasets described by Klein et al. (2014; https://osf.io/wx7ck/). The datasets were from the Many Labs Replication Project. For all eight projects, there were attempts to replicate the original effect across 36 samples (studies), involving over 6,000 participants. The data are for: (1) Sunk Costs (Oppenheimer, Meyvis, & Davidenko, 2009), wherein participants are supposedly more likely to go see their favorite football team play an important game on a freezing cold day if they had paid for the ticket than if the ticket had been free; (2) Anchoring (Jacowitz & Kahneman, 1995), wherein participants subsequent judgments are supposedly affected by anchoring information provided by researchers; (3) Gamblers Fallacy (Oppenheimer & Monin, 2009), wherein the rarity of an independent, chance observation supposedly influences beliefs about what occurred before the event; (4) Quote Attribution (Lorge & Curtiss, 1936), wherein participants opinions are supposedly more influenced by whether they are exposed to the opinions of a highly regarded than a lowly regarded other person; (5) Flag Priming (Carter, Ferguson, & Hassin, 2011; Study 2), wherein subtle exposure to the American flag supposedly increases conservative opinions among US participants; (6) Currency Priming (Caruso, Vohs, Baxter, & Waytz, 2013), wherein mere exposure to money symbols increases participants endorsements of the current social system; (7) Imagined Contact (Husnu & Crisp, 2010; Study 1), wherein merely imagining contact with members of ethnic outgroups is supposedly sufficient to reduce prejudice toward those groups; and (8) Math Attitudes (Nosek, Banaji, & Greenwald, 2002), wherein women supposedly have more negative implicit attitudes toward math compared to arts than do men.

**Math_Performance** is data from a meta-analysis on 35 effect sizes (r values) for the association between individual differences in non-symbolic number acuity and math performance (Chen & Li, 2014, Table 1, p. 167).

**Omega3_Depression** is data from a meta-analysis on 12 effect sizes for the role of omega-3 fatty acids in the treatment of major depression (Grosso et al., 2014, Figure 2, top portion, p. 9).

**Paired_Samples** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 100 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the difference in mean
scores was .50 and the correlation between the two variables was .70.

**PopulationR.02** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 100 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .02. The data are from O’Connor and Ermacora (2021).

**PopulationR.10** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 100 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .10. The data are from O’Connor and Ermacora (2021).

**PopulationR.11** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 36 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .11. The data are from O’Connor and Khattar (2022).

**PopulationR.20** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 100 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .20. The data are from O’Connor and Ermacora (2021).

**PopulationR.32** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 65 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .32. The data are from O’Connor and Khattar (2022).

**PopulationR.57** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 225 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .57. The data are from O’Connor and Khattar (2022).

**PopulationR.077** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 50 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was .077. The data are from O’Connor and Khattar (2022).

**PopulationRneg.04** is a list with raw data for two variables from 50 random samples, N = 230 each, that were randomly drawn from a population of 100,000 cases in which the correlation between the two variables was -.04. The data are from O’Connor and Khattar (2022).

**SelfEsteem_Depression** is data from Sowislo and Orth (2013, Table 2), who reported findings from a meta-analysis of 77 longitudinal studies that provided effect sizes for self-esteem predicting future depressive symptoms (median N = 224). The final effect size, in correlation coefficient metric, was .57.
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**Examples**

```r
names(data_NPP)
head(data_NPP$Alcohol_Intake)
head(data_NPP$Anxiety_Therapy)
head(data_NPP$Cannabis_Psychosis)
head(data_NPP$CBT_Autism)
head(data_NPP$CBT_Social_Anxiety)
head(data_NPP$Ego_Depletion)
```


```r
head(data_NPP$Hypomnic_BIS)
head(data_NPP$IAT_Discrimination)
head(data_NPP$Many_Labs)
head(data_NPP$Math_Performance)
head(data_NPP$Omega3_Depression)
head(data_NPP$Paired_Samples)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.02)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.10)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.11)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.20)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.32)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.57)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.077)
head(data_NPP$PopulationRneg.04)
head(data_NPP$SelfEsteem_Depression)
```

NO.PING.PONG  

**Incorporating previous findings when evaluating new data**

**Description**

A function for revealing what happens when previous findings are taken into account when analyzing new data.

**Usage**

```r
NO.PING.PONG(donnes,
    ES_type_IN=NULL, ES_type_OUT='r',
    rawdata_type='for_correl',
    rma_method='REML',
    Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju'),
    prior_type='META', CI = 95,
    ES = NULL, N = NULL, vi = NULL,
```
Arguments

donnes Either (1) a list with raw data for two numeric variables in each of the multiple list elements (wherein each list element consists of rows of raw data from an individual study), or, (2) a dataframe or matrix wherein each row has summary data for a single study (e.g., has the group means, SDs, & Ns, or d or g or r values, or effect size and variance estimates).

ES_type_IN (optional) The type of effect sizes, if donnes is a matrix of study-level data rather than raw data. The options are 'r', 'd', or 'g'.

ES_type_OUT (optional) The type of effect sizes for the output. The options are 'r' (the default), 'd', and 'g'.

rawdata_type (optional) The type of raw data, if donnes is a list with raw datasets. The options are 'for_correl' (for when correlations should be computed), 'indep_groups' (for when the raw data are based on independent groups, as in for an independent groups t-test), and 'paired_samples' (for when the raw data are paired samples, as in for a paired samples t-test).

rma_method (optional) The method option for the rma function from the metafor package: 'A character string specifying whether a fixed- or a random/mixed-effects model should be fitted. A fixed-effects model (with or without moderators) is fitted when using method="FE". Random/mixed-effects models are fitted by setting method equal to one of the following: "DL", "HE", "SJ", "ML", "REML", "EB", "HS", or "GENQ". The default is "REML".'

Bayes_type (optional) The kind(s) of Bayesian analyses to be conducted. The options are one or all of 'Schmidt_Raju', 'generated' and/or 'raw'. The default is 'Schmidt_Raju', which is the fastest, i.e., Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju'). See the Details.

prior_type (optional) The type of prior data to be used in the updating analyses (both cumulative and Bayesian). The options are 'BAYES' or 'META'. The default is 'META'. See the Details.

CI (optional) The confidence interval for the analyses (in whole numbers). The default is 95.

ES (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the effect sizes.

N (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the total Ns.

vi (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the variances for the effect sizes.

grp1_mn (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the mean for group 1.

grp1_sd (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the standard deviation for group 1.
grp1_n  (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the number of cases in group 1.

grp2_mn (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the mean for group 2.

grp2_sd (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the standard deviation for group 2.

grp2_n  (optional) The name of the column in donnes with the number of cases in group 2.

gvar_type_OUT (optional) The kind of SMD variance.

paired_samples_ES_type (optional) The kind of effect size for the analyses if rawdata_type = 'paired_samples'. Following the escalc function from the metafor package, the options are 'MC' (for raw mean change), 'SMCC' (for the standardized mean change using change score standardization; Gibbons et al., 1993), 'SMCR' (for the standardized mean change using raw score standardization; Becker, 1988), 'SMCRH' (the default, for the standardized mean change using raw score standardization with heteroscedastic population variances at the two measurement occasions, Bonett, 2008), or 'ROMC' (for the log transformed ratio of means; Lajeunesse, 2011).

funnel_plot (optional) Should a funnel plot be produced and saved? TRUE or FALSE (default)

funnel_plot_type (optional) The output format if funnel_plot_save = TRUE. The options are 'bitmap', 'tiff', 'png' (the default), 'jpeg', and 'bmp'.

funnel_plot_title (optional) Text that will be used to name the funnel plot file and appear as the plot main title.

nitt (optional) The number of iterations for Bayesian analyses. The default = 53000

burnin (optional) The burn-in period for Bayesian analyses. The default = 3000

thin (optional) The thinning interval for the Bayesian analyses. The default = 10

verbose (optional) Should detailed results be displayed in console? TRUE (default) or FALSE

Details

This function reveals what happens when effect size estimates from previous studies are taken into account when evaluating each new dataset in a study sequence. The analyses are conducted for cumulative meta-analyses and for Bayesian data analyses. The findings from these methods can be contrasted with those from null hypothesis statistical significance testing (NHST), which does not take previous findings into account and which often results in a back and forth ping-pong of conclusions about a phenomenon.

The function relies on the metafor package for the meta-analyses and on the MCMCglmm package for the Bayesian analyses.

If the function is provided with only non-correlation coefficient effect sizes and corresponding variance estimates, then the analyses will be conducted for meta-analyses and NHST. Bayesian analyses are not possible. However, any kind of effect size coefficient can be used in this case.
If the function is provided with correlation coefficient effect sizes and the corresponding variance estimates, then the analyses can be conducted for NHST, meta-analyses, and Bayesian analyses.

If the function is provided with d or g effect sizes along with the corresponding total sample sizes, but not with the corresponding variances for the effect sizes, then the d or g values will be converted to correlation coefficient equivalents and the subsequent analyses will be for r effect sizes. Bayesian analyses are possible in this case.

The Bayesian analyses are always conducted using correlation coefficient effect sizes. When the input data are not raw data nor correlation coefficients, then the correlation coefficient equivalents are computed from the input data for the analyses.

When the input data consists of raw datasets and the focus is on group mean differences in scores (re: rawdata_type = 'indep_groups'), then it is assumed that the grouping variable is in the first column of the input data (‘donnees’) and that there are only two levels of the grouping variable.

When ‘d’ or ‘g’ are specified as the type of effect sizes for the output (using the ES_type_OUT argument), then the output is for conversions of correlation coefficient effect sizes to ‘d’ or ‘g’ values using the conventional formulas for such conversions.

The gvar_type_OUT argument provides a choice between d or g effect size variances whenever ES_type_OUT is set to g. The reason for this option is that authors of published meta-analyses sometimes report d variances when their analyses were conducted on g effect sizes. This is presumably not a wise practice, but it also does not make much difference in the computed values.

For the "Bayes_type" argument: The Schmidt_Raju method is the computationally fastest method of conducting the Bayesian analyses, but it is based on correlation coefficient effect sizes, or the correlation coefficient equivalents. The Bayesian analyses can also be conducted using raw data for the sequence of studies, or using data that are generated to have the same effect size as those in a raw dataset. The raw data option is generally the better approach but it is only feasible when the raw data are available. By default, the function will run the analyses for the Schmidt_Raju method, for generated data, and for raw data whenever possible.

For the "prior_type" argument: The priors used in the updating analyses (both cumulative and Bayesian) can be the effect size and standard error from previous studies based on a meta-analysis or based on Bayesian analyses. The options are ‘BAYES’ or ‘META’, and ‘META’ is the default.

Consistency and agreement rates are computed for the NHST analyses, for the updating MAs, and for the Bayesian analyses. The consistency rate is the proportion of times that the most common conclusion is reached for a pool of effect sizes. Three conclusions are possible for each effect size: a positive effect, a negative effect, and no effect. The signs of the effect sizes and the possible inclusion of a zero value in a confidence interval are used to make these categorizations (e.g., a negative effect conclusion is when a negative effect size has a confidence interval that does not include zero). The number of times each of the three possible conclusions occurs for a pool of effect sizes is counted, and the consistency rate is based on the most common conclusion. The agreement rate for a pool of effect sizes is the proportion of times that the conclusions for individual studies are identical to the conclusion (re: the same three categories) of the final, all-studies-combined MA. More detailed descriptions of the analytic methods were provided by O'Connor and Ermacora (2021).

The output from this function can be entered into the PLOT_NO.PING.PONG function in order to obtain a graphical display of the findings across a sequence of studies.
Value

An object of class "NO.PING.PONG". The object is a list containing the following possible components:

- **ES_MA**: The final effect size from the cumulative meta-analysis.
- **ES_MA_lb**: The lower bound of the confidence interval for the final effect size from the cumulative meta-analysis.
- **ES_MA_ub**: The upper bound of the confidence interval for the final effect size from the cumulative meta-analysis.
- **Q**: The Q heterogeneity statistic.
- **p_Q**: The statistical significance value for the Q heterogeneity statistic.
- **tau2**: tau2 (or tau-squared) is the variation in effect sizes (between-study variance) in a random-effects meta-analysis. It is the variance in the true effect sizes.
- **tau2LB**: The lower bound of the confidence interval for tau2.
- **tau2UB**: The upper bound of the confidence interval for tau2.
- **tau**: tau is the square root of tau-squared. tau is the standard deviation of the true effect sizes.
- **tauLB**: The lower bound of the confidence interval for tau.
- **tauUB**: The upper bound of the confidence interval for tau.
- **isq**: isq estimates (in percent) how much of the total variability in the effect size estimates (which is composed of heterogeneity plus sampling variability) can be attributed to heterogeneity among the true effects.
- **isqLB**: The lower bound of the confidence interval for isq.
- **isqUB**: The upper bound of the confidence interval for isq.
- **hsq**: hsq estimates the ratio of the total amount of variability in the effect size estimates to the amount of sampling variability.
- **hsqLB**: The lower bound of the confidence interval for hsq.
- **hsqUB**: The upper bound of the confidence interval for hsq.
- **results_NHST**: The results for the NHST analyses.
- **consistNHST**: The consistency rate for the NHST analyses.
- **agreeNHST**: The agreement rate for the NHST analyses.
- **results_CUM**: The results for the cumulative meta-analyses.
- **consistCUM**: The consistency rate for the cumulative meta-analyses.
- **agreeCUM**: The agreement rate for the cumulative meta-analyses.
- **results_BA_SR**: The results for the Schmidt-Raju Bayesian analyses.
- **consistBA_SR**: The consistency rate for the Schmidt-Raju Bayesian analyses.
- **agreeBA_SR**: The agreement rate for the Schmidt-Raju Bayesian analyses.
- **results_BA_GEN**: The results for the generated data Bayesian analyses.
- **consistBA_GEN**: The consistency rate for the generated data Bayesian analyses.
- **agreeBA_GEN**: The agreement rate for the generated data Bayesian analyses.
results_BA_RAW  The results for the raw data Bayesian analyses.
consistBA_RAW    The consistency rate for the raw data Bayesian analyses.
agreeBA_RAW      The agreement rate for the raw data Bayesian analyses.
biasStats        Publication bias statistics.

Author(s)
Brian P. O’Connor
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Examples

```r
# data from SchmidtRaju (2007, p. 303)
data_Schmidt_Raju <-
1 60 .44
2 75 .20
3 85 .60
4 110 .32
5 50 .41
6 90 .25
7 100 .12
8 65 .35
9 80 .35
10 65 .19

data_Schmidt_Raju <- data.frame(read.table(text=data_Schmidt_Raju, fill=TRUE))
colnames(data_Schmidt_Raju) <- c('Study', 'N', 'r')

NO.PING.PONG(data_Schmidt_Raju, ES_type_IN='r', ES_type_OUT='r',
rma_method='REML',
Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju', 'generated'),
prior_type='META', CI = 95,
ES = 'r', N = 'N', vi = NULL,
nitt=13000, burnin=3000, thin=10)
```

# using only ES & vi (the effect size & the effect size variance for each study)
# Anxiety_Therapy
# input data = Hedges.g & vi for each study
# Kampmanna (2016). Meta-analysis of technology-assisted interventions for social anxiety disorder
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Anxiety_Therapy,
ES = 'Hedges.g', N = NULL, vi = 'Var')
# Alcohol_Intake
# input data = Hedges g & the Ns for the experimental & control groups
# Prestwich (2016). Does Changing Social Influence Engender Changes in Alcohol Intake Table 2
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Alcohol_Intake, ES_type_IN='g', ES = 'g.Alcohol.Intake', grp1_n = 'Exp.n', grp2_n = 'Ctrl.n')

# Anxiety_Therapy
# input data = Hedges g & the N for each study
# Kampmanna (2016). Meta-analysis of technology-assisted interventions for social anxiety disorder
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Anxiety_Therapy, ES_type_IN='g', ES_type_OUT='r', ES = 'Hedges.g', N = 'N', rma_method='FE')

# Cannabis_Psychosis
# input data = Cohen's d & the N for each study
# Large (2001). Cannabis Use and Earlier Onset of Psychosis - A Systematic Meta-analysis
# Supplementary Online Content - The data are from the "eFigure".
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Cannabis_Psychosis, ES_type_IN='d', ES = 'Std_diff_in_mean', N = 'N')

# CBT_Autism
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Weston (2016). Effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy with people who have autistic
# spectrum disorders A systematic review and meta-analysis
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$CBT_Autism, grp1_mn = 'Con_Mean', grp1_sd = 'Con_SD', grp1_n = 'Con_N',
               grp2_mn = 'CBT_Mean', grp2_sd = 'CBT_SD', grp2_n = 'CBT_N')

# CBT_Social_Anxiety
# input data = Hedges g & the Ns for the experimental & control groups
# Kampmanna (2016). Meta-analysis of technology-assisted interventions for social anxiety
# fig 4
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$CBT_Social_Anxiety, ES_type_IN='g',
               ES = 'Hedgessg', grp1_n = 'N.exp', grp2_n = 'N.ctrl')

# Ego_Depletion
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Hagger (2016). A multilab preregistered replication of the ego-depletion effect
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Ego_Depletion, grp1_mn = 'E.Mean', grp1_sd = 'E.SD', grp1_n = 'E.N',
               grp2_mn = 'H.Mean', grp2_sd = 'H.SD', grp2_n = 'H.N')

# Hypomanic_BIS
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Katz (2021). The Dual-System Theory of Bipolar Spectrum Disorders r (BIS) = -.04
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Hypomanic_BIS, grp1_mn = 'BIS_B_MClin', grp1_sd = 'BIS_B_SDClin',
                 grp1_n = 'Nclin',
                 grp2_mn = 'BIS_H_MClin', grp2_sd = 'BIS_H_SDClin', grp2_n = 'Nctrl')
grp2_mn = 'BIS_B_MHC', grp2_sd = 'BIS_B_SDHC', grp2_n = 'Nhealthy')

# IAT_Discrimination
# input data = r & N for each study
# Oswald (2013). Predicting Ethnic and Racial Discrimination - A Meta-Analysis of IAT Studies
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$IAT_Discrimination, ES_type_IN='r',
              ES = 'R', N = 'N')

# Many_Labs$Anchoring
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Anchoring,
              grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N',
              grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Many_Labs$Gamblers_Fallacy
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Gamblers_Fallacy,
              grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N',
              grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Many_Labs$Math_Attitudes
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Math_Attitudes,
              grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N',
              grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Many_Labs$Sunk_Costs
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Sunk_Costs,
              grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N',
              grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Many_Labs$Quote_Attribution
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Quote_Attribution,
              grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N',
              grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Many_Labs$Flag_Priming
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
# Klein et al. (2014). Investigating variation in replicability: A many labs replication project
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Many_Labs$Flag_Priming, 
  grp1_mn = 'grp1_mn', grp1_sd = 'grp1_sd', grp1_n = 'grp1_N', 
  grp2_mn = 'grp2_mn', grp2_sd = 'grp2_sd', grp2_n = 'grp2_N')

# Math_Performance
# input data = r & N for each study
# Chen (2014). Association between individual differences in non-symbolic number acuity and 
# math performance - A meta-analysis Table 1
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Math_Performance, ES_type_IN='r', 
  ES = 'r', N = 'N')

# Omega3_Depression
# Grosso (2014). Role of omega-3 fatty acids in the treatment of depressive disorders 
# Findings are for Omega 3 predicting DSM major depression improvement - Fig 2, top portion, p. 9 
# input data = group means, SDs, & Ns
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Omega3_Depression, 
  grp1_mn = 'Cmn', grp1_sd = 'Csd', grp1_n = 'CN', 
  grp2_mn = 'Emn', grp2_sd = 'Esd', grp2_n = 'EN')

# paired samples
# input data = raw data
NO.PING.PONG(donnes=data_NPP$Paired_Samples, 
  rawdata_type = 'paired_samples', 
  paired_samples_ES_type = 'SMCRH')

# PopulationR.02 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .02 
# input data = raw data 
# O'Connor & Ermacora (2012). Unnecessary ping-pong
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.02)

# PopulationR.10 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .10 
# input data = raw data 
# O'Connor & Ermacora (2012). Unnecessary ping-pong
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.10)

# PopulationR.11 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .11 
# input data = raw data 
# O'Connor & Khattar (2022). Controversies regarding null hypothesis testing
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.11)

# PopulationR.20 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .20 
# input data = raw data 
# O'Connor & Ermacora (2012). Unnecessary ping-pong
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.20)
head(data_NPP$PopulationR.32)
# PopulationR.32 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .32
# input data = raw data
# O'Connor & Khattar (2022). Controversies regarding null hypothesis testing
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.32)

head(data_NPP$PopulationR.57)
# PopulationR.57 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .57
# input data = raw data
# O'Connor & Khattar (2022). Controversies regarding null hypothesis testing
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.57)

head(data_NPP$PopulationR.077)
# PopulationR.077 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = .077
# input data = raw data
# O'Connor & Khattar (2022). Controversies regarding null hypothesis testing
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.077)

head(data_NPP$PopulationRneg.04)
# PopulationRneg.04 - generated data for 2 variables with a population r = -04
# input data = raw data
# O'Connor & Khattar (2022). Controversies regarding null hypothesis testing
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationRneg.04)

# SelfEsteem_Depression
# input data = r & N for each study
# 2013 Sowislo - Does Low Self-Esteem Predict Depression and Anxiety  r = .57
NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$SelfEsteem_Depression, ES_type_IN='r',
              ES = 'rSED', N = 'N')

---

**PLOT_NO.PING.PONG**  
*Plots of output from the NO.PING.PONG function*

**Description**

Provides plots of the output from the NO.PING.PONG function for a sequence of studies

**Usage**

```
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nopingpongOutput, 
                 plot_this = c('NHST','CUMMETA','BAYES_SR'),
                 plot_save = FALSE, plot_save_type = 'png',
                 plot_title=NULL, Xrange=NULL)
```
Arguments

- **nopingpongOutput**
  Output from the NO.PING.PONG function

- **plot_this**
  The kind of output data to be plotted. The options are one or any combination of 'NHST', 'CUM_META', 'BAYES_GEN', 'BAYES_RAW', and 'BAYES_SR'. 'CUM_META' is for meta-analysis results; 'BAYES_GEN' is for results based on Bayesian generated data analyses; 'BAYES_RAW' is for results based on Bayesian raw data analyses; 'BAYES_SR' is for results based on the Schmidt-Raju (2007) Bayesian method. The default is plot_this = c('NHST', 'CUM_META', 'BAYES_SR').

- **plot_save**
  Should a plot be saved to disk? TRUE or FALSE (the default).

- **plot_save_type**
  The output format if plot_save = TRUE. The options are 'bitmap', 'tiff', 'png' (the default), 'jpeg', and 'bmp'.

- **plot_title**
  optional. A title for the plot that will appear in the saved file name.

- **Xrange**
  optional. A range for the x axis in the plots.

Details

This function provides plots of the output from the NO.PING.PONG function for a sequence of studies, with options for specifying the kind of results to be plotted (via the plot_this argument), whether to save the plot to disc, the file type of the saved plot (via the plot_save_type argument), the plot title, and the x axis range for the plot.

Value

A plot is produced, but there are no returned values.

Author(s)

Brian P. O’Connor

References


Examples

# data from SchmidtRaju (2007, p. 303)
data_Schmidt_Raju <- `
1  60 .44
2  75 .20
3  85 .60`
data_Schmidt_Raju <- data.frame(read.table(text=data_Schmidt_Raju, fill=TRUE))
colnames(data_Schmidt_Raju) <- c('
 Study', 'N', 'r')
data_Schmidt_Raju <- data_Schmidt_Raju[,2:3]
nppOutput <- NO.PING.PONG(data_Schmidt_Raju, ES_type_IN='r', ES_type_OUT='r', rma_method='REML', Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju', 'generated'), prior_type='META', CI = 95, ES = 'r', N = 'N', vi = NULL, nitt=13000, burnin=3000, thin=10, verbose=TRUE)
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_SR','BAYES_GEN'))

# Cannabis Psychosis data
nppOutput <- NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$Cannabis_Psychosis, ES_type_IN='d', ES_type_OUT='r', rma_method='REML', Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju', 'generated'), prior_type='META', ES = 'Std_diff_in_mean', N = 'N', vi = 'Variance', nitt=13000, burnin=3000, thin=10, verbose=TRUE)
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_SR','BAYES_GEN'))
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_SR','BAYES_GEN'))
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_GEN','BAYES_RAW'))

# PopulationR.20 data (has raw data)
nppOutput <- NO.PING.PONG(data_NPP$PopulationR.20, ES_type_OUT='r', rawdata_type = 'for_correl', rma_method='REML', Bayes_type = c('generated', 'Schmidt_Raju'), prior_type='META', CI = 95, ES = 'r', N = 'N', vi = NULL, nitt=13000, burnin=3000, thin=10, verbose=TRUE)
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_GEN','BAYES_RAW'))

# raw data for paired samples
nppOutput <- NO.PING.PONG(donnes=data_NPP$Paired_Samples, ES_type_IN = NULL, ES_type_OUT = 'd', rawdata_type = 'paired_samples', rma_method = 'REML', Bayes_type = c('Schmidt_Raju', 'generated', 'raw'),
prior_type = 'META', CI = 95,
ES = NULL, N = NULL, vi = NULL,
grp1_mn = NULL, grp1_sd = NULL, grp1_n = NULL,
grp2_mn = NULL, grp2_sd = NULL, grp2_n = NULL,
gvar_type_OUT = 'd',
paired_samples_ES_type = 'SMCRH',
nitt = 13000, burnin = 3000, thin = 10, verbose = TRUE)

PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META'))
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_GEN'))
PLOT_NO.PING.PONG(nppOutput, plot_this = c('NHST','CUM_META','BAYES_GEN','BAYES_RAW'))
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