1 Types of threshold effects

1.1 Continuous threshold effects

The continuous threshold effects supported in the `chngpt` package (Figure 1.1) are

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ \quad \text{(hinge, M01)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_+ \quad \text{(M02)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_+ + \beta_3 (x-e)^3_+ \quad \text{(M03)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_- \quad \text{(upper hinge, M01)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_- + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_- \quad \text{(M20)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1 (x-e)_- + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_- + \beta_3 (x-e)^3_- \quad \text{(M30)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ \quad \text{(segmented, M11)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_+ \quad \text{(M12)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_1 (x-e)_+ + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_+ + \beta_3 (x-e)^3_+ \quad \text{(M13)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_1 (x-e)_- + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_- \quad \text{(M21)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_1 (x-e)_- + \beta_2 (x-e)^2_- + \beta_3 (x-e)^3_- \quad \text{(M31)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \beta_1_-(x-e)_- + \beta_1_+(x-e)_+ + \beta_2_- (x-e)_- + \beta_2_+ (x-e)_+ \quad \text{(M22)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_2_- (x-e)_- + \beta_2_+ (x-e)_+ \quad \text{(M22c)}
\]

\[
\eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_T^T z + \gamma x + \beta_2_- (x-e)_- + \beta_2_+ (x-e)_+ \quad \text{(M33c)}
\]

where \( e \) denote the threshold parameter, \( x \) is the predictor with threshold effect, \( z \) denote a vector of additional predictors, and

\[
(x-e)_+ = \begin{cases} 
  x-e & \text{if } x > e \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
(x-e)_- = \begin{cases} 
  0 & \text{if } x > e \\
  x-e & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Hinge and segmented models are studied in [Fong et al. (2017b)](Fong et al. (2017b)). Upper hinge models are studied in [Elder and Fong (2019)](Elder and Fong (2019)). Manuscript describing the estimation and inference of other models are under preparation.
Figure 1.1: Types of continuous threshold effects supported in chngpt.
1.2 Discontinuous threshold effects

The discontinuous threshold effects supported in the chngpt package (Figure [1.2]) are:

\[ \eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2^T z + \beta_1 I(x > e) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(step)}

\[ \eta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2^T z + \beta_1 (x - e)_+ + \gamma x + \beta_2 I(x > e) , \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(stegmented)}

where \( e \) denote the threshold parameter, \( x \) is the predictor with threshold effect, \( z \) denote a vector of additional predictors, and

\[ I(x > e) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x > e \\
0 & \text{if otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

---

Figure 1.2: Types of discontinuous threshold effects supported in chngpt.
2 Examples

The examples below are organized by type of threshold effects and regression models. Before we get into specific examples, here are some notes that are of general interest:

- The fitted model has a component named best.fit, which is the glm or coxph fit at the estimated threshold parameter. This could be useful to know if one would like to extract information from model.

2.1 Continuous threshold linear regression

For continuous threshold linear regression, we have developed a grid search method for estimation that is super fast [Fong, 2018]. Together with the observation that bootstrap confidence intervals have better coverage than robust analytical confidence intervals [Fong et al., 2017b] for continuous threshold linear models, we recommend setting est.method="fastgrid" and var.type="bootstrap" in the call to chngptm.

2.1.1 Example 1. The MTCT dataset, segmented model

To estimate a threshold linear regression model with a segmented-type change point for the relationship between \( V3\_BioV3B \) and \( NAb\_score \) in the MTCT dataset, we call

```r
fit=chngptm (formula.1=V3_BioV3B~1, formula.2=~NAb_score, dat.mtct.2, type="segmented", family="gaussian", est.method="fastgrid", var.type="bootstrap", save.boot=TRUE)
```

- formula.2 and formula.1: threshold variable and the rest of the model
- type: type of threshold model to fit
- est.method defaults to fastgrid and is recommended
- var.type: bootstrap method is recommended here
- save.boot: saves bootstrap samples for plotting bootstrap distributions

Calling summary(fit), we get

Change point model type: segmented

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>est</th>
<th>p.value*</th>
<th>(lower</th>
<th>upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-22.33152</td>
<td>1.593423e-08</td>
<td>-30.07675</td>
<td>-14.58628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAb_score</td>
<td>67.23925</td>
<td>2.212981e-14</td>
<td>49.98398</td>
<td>84.49452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NAb_score-chngpt)+</td>
<td>-64.83129</td>
<td>3.692679e-14</td>
<td>-81.61413</td>
<td>-48.04845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>est</th>
<th>(lower</th>
<th>upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4653923</td>
<td>0.4535000</td>
<td>0.4772845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the output above, the row starting with \((\text{NAb\_score-chngpt})^+\) corresponds to \(\beta_1\) in equation (2.6). In other words, it is \(\) the change in slope as the covariate \(\text{NAb\_score}\) crosses the threshold.

Note that we there is an asterisk next to \(\text{p.value}\). This is because bootstrap procedures to generate confidence intervals do not readily lead to \(\text{p}\) values. The presented \(\text{p}\) values are approximations, obtained assuming that the bootstrap sampling distributions are normal.

To get an estimate of the slope after threshold, we call

\[
\text{est}=\text{lincomb(} \text{fit, comb=}c(0,1,1), \alpha=0.05) ; \text{print(est)}
\]

and get

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{95\%} & \text{95\%} \\
2.40795883 & -0.06780353 \\
4.88372120 & \\
\end{array}
\]

Calling \text{plot(fit, which=}1\text{)} and \text{plot(fit, which=}3\text{)}, we get the two plots on the left-hand side of Figure 2.1. Changing \text{est.method} to \text{smoothapprox} in the model fit led us to the two plots on the right-hand side.

Figure 2.1: This is a replicate of \cite{Fong2018} Figure 1. Left: results by fast grid search; right: results by smooth approximation search. Top: scatterplots with fitted models (gray lines); bottom: bootstrap distributions of the threshold estimate from \(10^3\) replicates. The dashed lines correspond to the 95\% symmetric bootstrap confidence interval.
2.1.2 Example 2. The trees dataset, segmented model

To estimate a threshold linear regression model with a segmented-type change point in *Girth* for the *trees* dataset, we call

```r
fit=chngptm(formula.1=Volume~1, formula.2=~Girth, data=trees, type="segmented", family="gaussian", var.type="bootstrap", weights=NULL)
```

- **formula.2** and **formula.1**: threshold variable and the rest of the model
- **type**: type of threshold model to fit
- **var.type**: *bootstrap* method is recommended for confidence interval
- **weights** can be supplied

Calling `summary(fit)`, we get

Change point model type: segmented

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>est</th>
<th>p.value*</th>
<th>(lower, upper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-24.614440</td>
<td>1.985482e-04, -37.580354, -11.648527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girth</td>
<td>3.993966</td>
<td>9.288973e-11, 2.785558, 5.202373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Girth-chngpt)+</td>
<td>4.266618</td>
<td>8.261144e-04, 1.765770, 6.767467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>est</th>
<th>(lower, upper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>12.9, 19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calling `plot(fit)`, we get Figure 2.2.

To test whether there is a change point ([Fong et al. 2015](#)), we call

```r
test=chngpt.test(formula.null=Volume~1, formula.chngpt=~Girth, trees, type="segmented", family="gaussian")
```

When printed, we get

```
Maximum of Likelihood Ratio Statistics

data:  trees
Maximal statistic = 17.694, change point = 15.388, p-value = 0.00014
alternative hypothesis: two-sided
```

The first line gives the type of test carried out, and it is maximal likelihood ratio test here, which is the default. In addition, a plot function can be called on the test object to show the score or likelihood ratio statistic as a function of candidate change points.
Figure 2.2: (a) Scatterplot of timber volume vs girth. The gray line shows the fitted segmented model. (b) Log likelihood of the submodel versus threshold parameter.

2.1.3 Example 3. The vapor pressure dataset, hinge quadratic model

To estimate a hinge quadratic linear regression model in temperature for the pressure dataset, we call

```r
fit=chngptm(formula.1=pressure~1, formula.2=~temperature, data=pressure,
             type="quadhinge", family="gaussian", var.type="bootstrap")
```

Calling `summary(fit)`, we get

Change point model threshold.type: hingequad

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>est</th>
<th>p.value*</th>
<th>(lower</th>
<th>upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>8.2784</td>
<td>0.4733</td>
<td>-14.35129837</td>
<td>30.9082254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7
(temperature-chngpt)+ 0.007124705 0.9944183 -2.00325636 1.9890069
I((temperature-chngpt)+^2) 0.039305656 0.3644561 -0.04564143 0.1242527

Threshold:
est (lower upper)
220  -680  240
2.2 Continuous threshold logistic regression

For continuous threshold logistic regression, a fast grid search method for estimation is not yet available. In addition, we have observed that bootstrap confidence intervals have similar coverage as robust analytical confidence intervals (Fong et al., 2017b). Thus, we recommend either `var.type="bootstrap"` or `var.type="robust"` in the call to `chngptm`. Note that when it is set to `robust`, an auxiliary fit needs to be supplied, which is generally a smooth parametric model with enough but not too many degrees of freedom.

To estimate a logistic regression model with a hinge-type change point in `NAb_SF162L` for the MTCT dataset, we call

```r
library(splines)
fit=chngptm(formula.1=y~birth, formula.2=~NAb_SF162LS, dat.mtct, type="hinge", family="binomial",
est.method="smoothapprox", var.type="robust",
aux.fit=glm(y~birth + ns(NAb_SF162LS,3), dat.mtct, family="binomial"))
```

- `formula.2` and `formula.1`: threshold variable and the rest of the model
- `type`: type of threshold model to fit
- `est.method`: `smoothapprox` is recommended
- `var.type`: `robust` is recommended for confidence interval
- `aux.fit`: required for `robust` variance estimation

Calling `summary(fit)`, we get

```
Change point model type: hinge

Coefficients:  OR  p.value  (lower upper)
(Intercept)  0.7026523 0.341429662 0.3388366 1.4571044
birthVaginal 1.2397649 0.523159883 0.6393632 2.4039809
(NAb_SF162LS-chngpt)+ 0.6712371 0.001332547 0.5270730 0.8548327
```

Threshold:
- 26.3% (lower upper)
- 7.373374 5.472271 8.186464

To test whether there is a change point (Fong et al., 2015), we call

```r
test=chngpt.test(formula.null=y~birth, formula.chngpt=~NAb_SF162LS, dat.mtct,
type="hinge", family="binomial", main.method="score")
```

When printed, we get
Maximum of Score Statistics

data:  dat.mtct
Maximal statistic = 3.3209, change point = 7.0347, p-value = 0.00284
alternative hypothesis: two-sided

The first line gives the type of test carried out, and it may be maximal likelihood ratio

2.2.1  cbind

The chngptm function supports the use of cbind in the formula, as the glm function does. For example,

dat.2=sim.chngpt("thresholded", "step", n=200, seed=1, beta=1, alpha=-1, x.distr="norm", e.=4, family="binomial")
dat.2$success=rbinom(nrow(dat.2), 10, 1/(1 + exp(-dat.2$eta)))
dat.2$failure=10-dat.2$success
fit.2a=chngptm(formula.1=cbind(success,failure)~z, formula.2=~x, family="binomial", dat.2, type="step")

2.3  Continuous threshold Poisson regression

Only grid search method and bootstrap confidence intervals are supported, so getting the

2.4  Discontinuous threshold GLM

Confidence interval for discontinuous threshold regression models can be constructed by m-

The result:
2.5 Threshold Cox regression

The `chngpt` package also provides some support for estimation of threshold Cox regression models. What is missing, though, is confidence intervals for parameter estimates and hypothesis testing methods. See the help page on `chngpt` for an example.

2.6 Models with interaction terms

In the following example we fit a model with an interaction term.

```r
fit=chngptm(formula.1=mpg ~hp, formula.2=~hp*drat, mtcars, type="segmented", family="gaussian", var.type="bootstrap", ci.bootstrap.size=100)
summary(fit)
```

The model being fitted is

\[ \eta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 z + \beta_3 x + \beta_4 (x - e)_+ + \beta_5 zx + \beta_6 z(x - e)_+ \]

The result:

Change point model threshold.type: segmented

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>est</th>
<th>p.value*</th>
<th>(lower)</th>
<th>(upper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>71.0423961</td>
<td>0.5949231</td>
<td>-190.8328276</td>
<td>332.9176199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp</td>
<td>-0.5714405</td>
<td>0.4809352</td>
<td>-2.1605786</td>
<td>1.0176976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drat</td>
<td>-14.3708279</td>
<td>0.7431579</td>
<td>-100.3306292</td>
<td>71.5889735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(drat-chngpt)+</td>
<td>21.6073593</td>
<td>0.6806816</td>
<td>-81.3015235</td>
<td>124.5162420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp:drat</td>
<td>0.1658607</td>
<td>0.5333802</td>
<td>-0.3560702</td>
<td>0.6877916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp:(drat-chngpt)+</td>
<td>-0.1970979</td>
<td>0.5620552</td>
<td>-0.8633923</td>
<td>0.4691965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Threshold:

| est (lower upper) | 3.23  2.35  4.11 |

In the following example we fit a model with two interaction terms.

```r
fit=chngptm(formula.1=mpg~hp+wt, formula.2=~hp*drat+wt*drat, mtcars, type="step", family="gaussian", var.type="bootstrap", ci.bootstrap.size=100)
summary(fit)
```

The model being fitted is

$$
\eta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 z_1 + \beta_3 z_2 + \beta_4 (x > e) + \beta_5 z_1 (x > e) + \beta_6 z_2 (x > e)
$$

The result:

Change point model threshold.type: step

Coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>est</th>
<th>p.value*</th>
<th>(lower upper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>30.8332346</td>
<td>1.458455e-06</td>
<td>18.2870806 43.3795663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp</td>
<td>-0.02389962</td>
<td>7.233212e-01</td>
<td>-0.1562164 0.1084172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>-2.58756410</td>
<td>1.867228e-01</td>
<td>-6.4287268 1.2535986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(drat&gt;chngpt)</td>
<td>11.69827186</td>
<td>7.188926e-01</td>
<td>-52.0030753 75.3996190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp:I(drat&gt;chngpt)</td>
<td>-0.00894615</td>
<td>9.652991e-01</td>
<td>-0.4119918 0.3940995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt:I(drat&gt;chngpt)</td>
<td>-3.22148003</td>
<td>8.902722e-01</td>
<td>-48.9891600 42.5461999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold:

| est (lower upper) | 3.730  3.237  4.223 |

3 Further considerations

3.1 Model choice

The choice of threshold effects is typically through a combination of domain knowledge and modeling. One modeling approach is to first examine the relationship using local polynomial regression.

To choose among the segmented, hinge, and upper hinge models formally, we can use Wald tests. For example, if the question is framed as choosing between segmented and hinge models, we can fit a segmented model and then look at the slope before threshold in the summary function output. If the estimate is not significantly different from 0, then it is justifiable to fit a hinge model. We can also look at the slope after threshold, which is not
displayed as part of the summary function output, but can be obtained by calling lincomb (see example in Section [2.1.1]). If this estimate is not significantly different from 0, then it is justifiable to fit an upper hinge model. If the hinge or upper hinge model is reasonable, it is preferred over the segmented model because the model can be estimated with substantially higher precision (Fong et al., 2017b; Elder and Fong, 2019).

3.2 Estimation and inference methods

There are three types of search methods for finding the MLE (maximum likelihood estimator). Users generally do not need to worry about setting the argument, which is est.method, since the function chooses the most appropriate one by default. In the order of development, the three search methods are grid, smooth approximation, and fastgrid. The grid method is the most general and the slowest; it is recommended when other methods are not available. The smooth approximation method (Fong et al., 2017a) involves approximating the likelihood function with a differentiable function to allow gradient-based search; it is available for linear and logistic regression and mostly recommended for logistic regression only. Fastgrid (Fong, 2018; Elder and Fong, 2019) is a new method that is super fast and gives exact solutions; it is only available for certain threshold linear regression models.

Robust confidence interval methods are described in Fong et al. (2017b).

Hypothesis testing methods are described in Fong et al. (2015) and Fong et al. (2017a).
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