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1 Introduction

Longitudinal data are now widely analysed using linear mixed models, with ‘random slopes’ models particularly common. These models can successfully account for the dependency that arises when repeated observations are made over time on each individual in a dataset, but make strong assumptions regarding the nature of this dependency. In the context of modelling CD4 cell counts over time in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients, it has been shown that the incorporation of non-stationary stochastic processes such as Brownian motion or integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (IOU) processes into the modelling framework can lead to a very substantial improvement in model fit. Recently, the use of a fractional Brownian motion component has been shown to provide a further improvement. However, these extensions to the standard linear mixed model have not been widely used in practice, and are not readily implemented in current statistical software programs. The presence of such a component in a model for longitudinal data implies that the progress of the state of the underlying biological system for each individual does not follow a deterministic relationship with time, but rather follows an unpredictable stochastic path.

The nlme package for R allows the user to fit a wide range of linear and non-linear mixed effects models, with in-depth documentation and a wealth of examples provided in the accompanying book by Pinheiro and Bates. As well as incorporating within-subject dependence resulting from the inclusion of ‘random effects’ in a specified model, nlme also allows for a correlation structure to be imposed on the residual error terms (with estimation of any associated parameters) and for the residual error variance to be modelled as a function of variables in the data under consideration. It is even possible for the user to create their own correlation structures or variance functions for inclusion in the estimation of models in nlme.

It is possible to implement user-defined correlation structures in nlme to obtain point estimates of the parameters in linear and non-linear mixed effects models incorporating Brownian motion or IOU processes. However, some further additions to the original nlme code are required to obtain confidence intervals for the natural model parameters and to return a fitted model object that reports the natural parameters upon use of print or summary. The covBM package provides wrappers for the standard nlme functions in order to achieve these goals.

In Section 2, the characteristics of the statistical models under consideration are specified, and in Section 3, examples are provided to illustrate use of the functions provided in covBM to fit such models.

2 Model description

2.1 Scaled Brownian motion

Brownian motion (also known as a Wiener process) is a non-stationary stochastic process that constitutes a continuous-time generalisation of a simple random walk, in which successive increments are independent of the history of the process. When considered in terms of a given set of
observation points, a scaled Brownian motion process, denoted \( W_t \) at time \( t \), is defined by the properties:

\[
W_0 = 0 \\
W_t - W_s \sim N(0, \kappa(t-s)) \text{ for } 0 \leq s < t.
\]

The process starts at zero at time \( t = 0 \), and increments of the process are stationary, independent (for disjoint periods of time) and normally distributed with mean zero and variance equal to the difference in time between observation points scaled by a constant factor \( \kappa \). These conditions lead to the following characteristics:

\[
E[W_t] = 0 \\
\text{Var}[W_t] = \kappa t \\
\text{Cov}[W_s, W_t] = \kappa \min(s, t).
\]

The distribution of a set of \( n \) observations relating to a given series of time points therefore follows a multivariate normal distribution with a mean vector of \( n \) zeros and covariance matrix defined by the formulae given above.

### 2.2 Scaled fractional Brownian motion

Fractional Brownian motion represents a generalisation of a Brownian motion process in which increments for disjoint time periods are not constrained to be independent, although they do remain stationary. The process was introduced by Mandelbrot and van Ness. The characteristics of a fractional Brownian motion process are determined by an additional parameter, referred to as \( H \) or ‘the Hurst index’, that may take a value in the range \((0,1)\). Standard Brownian motion represents a special case of fractional Brownian motion, corresponding to \( H = \frac{1}{2} \). As for standard Brownian motion, the expectation of the value of the process is zero for all points in time.

When \( H < \frac{1}{2} \), successive increments of the process are negatively correlated. This has the consequence, firstly, that the path of the trajectory appears ‘jagged’ and, secondly, that realisations of the process tend to revert towards the mean of zero. For \( H > \frac{1}{2} \), successive increments of the process are positively correlated. This means that the path of the process has a relatively ‘smooth’ appearance, and also that realisations of the process tend to diverge away from zero.

As for Brownian motion, a scale parameter \( \kappa \) can be added to the standard definition of fractional Brownian motion, corresponding to the variance of the process at \( t = 1 \). We may then characterise the properties of the process as follows:

\[
W_0 = 0 \\
E[W_t] = 0 \\
\text{Var}[W_t] = \kappa |t|^{2H} \\
\text{Cov}[W_s, W_t] = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left( |s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \right).
\]

### 2.3 Integrated Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process

The IOU process is another non-stationary Gaussian stochastic process that has also been used to model CD4 counts in HIV-positive patients, a full description is provided by Taylor et al. The process has the following characteristics:

\[
W_0 = 0 \\
E[W_t] = 0 \\
\text{Var}[W_t] = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha^3} \left( \alpha t + e^{-\alpha t} - 1 \right) \\
\text{Cov}[W_s, W_t] = \frac{\kappa}{2\alpha^3} \left( 2\alpha \min(s, t) + e^{-\alpha t} + e^{-\alpha s} - 1 - e^{-\alpha |t-s|} \right).
\]
We have used the symbol $\kappa$ to denote the variance scaling parameter ($\sigma^2$ was used by Taylor et al.\textsuperscript{1}). The $\alpha$ parameter determines the extent to which the process reverts towards its mean value. For values of $\alpha$ approaching infinity, the process is equivalent to scaled Brownian motion, whereas for values of $\alpha$ approaching zero the process is equivalent to a random slopes model (without a random intercept)\textsuperscript{1}.

### 2.4 Marginal distribution

For models incorporating Gaussian processes such as Brownian motion, the fact that the marginal distribution of the full vector of observations of the outcome variable is multivariate normal ($MVN$) means that parameter estimation can be achieved through adjustment of the methods used for standard linear mixed models. The linear mixed model for longitudinal data can be expressed in the form\textsuperscript{8}:

$$y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i b_i + e_i$$

where $y_i$ represents the vector of $n_i$ observations for the $i^{th}$ individual, $X_i$ represents their design matrix for the ‘fixed effects’ parameters $\beta$, $Z_i$ represents the subset of the columns of the design matrix associated with the ‘random effects’ for each individual $b_i$ and $e_i$ is the vector of residual errors for each measurement occasion. The vectors of random effects $b_1, b_2, ... b_N$ and residual errors $e_1, e_2, ... e_N$ for each of the $N$ individuals are independent of one another. It can be easily shown that this formulation leads to the following marginal distribution for $y_i$:

$$y_i \sim MVN(X_i \beta, Z_i \Psi^{T} Z_i + R_i)$$

When linear mixed models are fitted to longitudinal data, it is common to assume that the residual errors for each observation within each individual, $e_i$, are independent and with constant variance, $\sigma^2$, i.e. $R_i$ as defined in (1) is equal to $\sigma^2 I_{n_i}$. However, other forms for $R_i$ are widely used, particularly for the analysis of longitudinal or spatial data, for example the exponential correlation structure\textsuperscript{5}.

The remaining variability in the model, once the random effects have been accounted for, can also be subdivided into a component relating to a Gaussian process (independent of other model components) with expectation zero for all time points and an independent residual error for each observation. Defining $\Sigma_i$ as the covariance matrix resulting from the chosen Gaussian process and set of time points $t_i$ for the $i^{th}$ individual, the linear mixed model can then be expressed as:

$$y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i b_i + W_i[t_i] + e_i$$

where $y_i$ represents the vector of $n_i$ observations for the $i^{th}$ individual, $X_i$ represents their design matrix for the ‘fixed effects’ parameters $\beta$, $Z_i$ represents the subset of the columns of the design matrix associated with the ‘random effects’ for each individual $b_i$ and $e_i$ is the vector of residual errors for each measurement occasion. The vectors of random effects $b_1, b_2, ... b_N$ and residual errors $e_1, e_2, ... e_N$ for each of the $N$ individuals are independent of one another. It can be easily shown that this formulation leads to the following marginal distribution for $y_i$:

$$y_i \sim MVN(X_i \beta, Z_i \Psi^{T} Z_i + \Sigma_i + \sigma^2 I_{n_i})$$

Although here we have focused on the marginal distribution for linear mixed models that incorporate a stochastic process, similar adjustment of the multivariate normal residual error distribution (i.e. $R_i$) can also be made for non-linear mixed effects models.

### 3 Examples

#### 3.1 lmeBM function

The lmeBM function is a wrapper for the lme.formula function from the nlme package, i.e. the lme function as used with a formula argument to specify the desired model; and the various
arguments can be used in exactly the same way as the original \texttt{nlme} function. However, \texttt{lmeBM} allows Brownian motion, fractional Brownian motion or IOU process components to be added to a model.

Included in the \texttt{covBM} package is a dataset of serial CD4 counts obtained in HIV-positive children. This dataset is discussed in \textit{Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models} by Andrew Gelman and Jennifer Hill\cite{Gelman2007}, and the original is available online from the home page of this book. In the present package, rows with missing values of ‘CD4CNT’ (CD4 count on original scale), ‘visage’ (age of child in years at given visit) or ‘baseage’ (age of child in years at initial visit) have been removed.

```r
> library(covBM)
> head(cd4)
newpid  visage treatmnt CD4CNT baseage sqrtcd4  t
1    1  5.330833     1     626  3.910000 1.420833 25.019992
2    1  5.848333     1     220  3.910000 1.938333 14.832397
3    2  3.565000     2     30   3.565000 0.000000  5.477226
4    2  3.778333     2     4   3.565000 0.213333  4.000000
5    3  6.124167     1     714  6.124167 2.000000 26.720778
6    3  6.354167     1     523  6.124167 0.230000 22.869193
```

We will consider models for square root-transformed CD4 counts ‘sqrtcd4’, as this provides a better approximation to the normal distribution, in terms of the time elapsed in years since the initial visit ‘t’. The variable ‘newpid’ provides unique patient identifiers. The ‘treatmnt’ variable indicates whether that child was a control (==1) or given a zinc supplement (==2). However, this variable is not considered below.

First, we fit a standard ‘random slopes’ linear mixed model, using the \texttt{lme} function from the \texttt{nlme} package. We choose here to obtain the maximum likelihood parameter estimates throughout, although restricted maximum likelihood estimation could also be implemented using the argument `method="REML"`.

```r
> RS_model<-lme(sqrtcd4~t, data=cd4, random=~t|newpid, method="ML")
> RS_model
Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood
Data: cd4
Log-likelihood: -3424.766
Fixed: sqrtcd4 ~ t
 (Intercept) t
 30.664754 -5.556963
Random effects:
Formula: ~t | newpid
  Structure: General positive-definite, Log-Cholesky parametrization
StdDev Corr
(Intercept) 12.606187 (Intr)
t 5.792576 -0.375
Residual 5.354330
Number of Observations: 976
Number of Groups: 226
```

We then fit a ‘random slopes’ linear mixed model with additional inclusion of a scaled Brownian motion process. This requires the \texttt{covariance=covBM} argument using the \texttt{lmeBM} function, which exactly follows the \texttt{lme} syntax. The parameter estimates for the model do not converge when using the default optimiser in this dataset, but the model can be successfully fitted using the `control=list(opt="optim")` argument.
> BM_model<-lmeBM(sqrtcd4~t, data=cd4, random=~t|newpid, 
+     covariance=covBM(form=~t|newpid), method="ML", 
+     control=list(opt="optim"))

> BM_model

Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood
  Data: cd4
  Log-likelihood: -3421.276
  Fixed: sqrtcd4 ~ t
    (Intercept)    t
  30.726746 -5.505073

Random effects:
  Formula: ~t | newpid
  Structure: General positive-definite, Log-Cholesky parametrization
    StdDev  Corr
  (Intercept) 12.675137 (Intr)
  t            3.362038 -0.732
  Residual     4.850621

Stochastic process component: covBM
  Formula: ~t | newpid
  Parameter estimate(s):
    Kappa
  34.92393

Number of Observations: 976
Number of Groups: 226

A further generalisation of the model to incorporate a fractional Brownian motion process can also be considered:

> fBM_model<-lmeBM(sqrtcd4~t, data=cd4, random=~t|newpid, 
+     covariance=covFracBM(form=~t|newpid), method="ML", 
+     control=list(opt="optim"))

> fBM_model

Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood
  Data: cd4
  Log-likelihood: -3420.997
  Fixed: sqrtcd4 ~ t
    (Intercept)    t
  30.763016 -5.479037

Random effects:
  Formula: ~t | newpid
  Structure: General positive-definite, Log-Cholesky parametrization
    StdDev  Corr
  (Intercept) 12.675137 (Intr)
  t            3.362038 -0.732
  Residual     4.551875

Stochastic process component: covFracBM
  Formula: ~t | newpid
  Parameter estimate(s):
    Kappa Hurst index
  5

5
The fitted model objects created using the *lmeBM* function are of class "*lme*", and so all the usual *nlme* Methods can be used to extract and view useful information. For example, *anova.lme* can be used to compare a set of fitted models:

```r
> anova(RS_model, BM_model, fBM_model)
Model df AIC  BIC logLik Test L.Ratio p-value
RS_model  1 6 6861.531 6890.832 -3424.766
BM_model  2 7 6856.552 6890.736 -3421.276 1 vs 2 6.979464 0.0082
fBM_model 3 8 6857.993 6897.061 -3420.997 2 vs 3 0.558621 0.4548
```

Both the likelihood ratio tests and a comparison of Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) values suggest that the model including a Brownian motion process should be chosen above a standard random slopes model, but that there is not evidence to support the generalisation to a fractional Brownian motion process. This conclusion is also supported by inspection of the approximate 95% confidence intervals of parameter estimates for the fractional Brownian motion model, as the confidence interval for the H-index is inclusive of 0.5 (the value for a standard Brownian motion process).

```r
> intervals(fBM_model)$corStruct

     lower   est.   upper
Kappa 18.92012 40.84118 88.16021
Hurst index 0.06491599 0.3776367 0.841357
```

The random slopes model incorporating an IOU process returns a high estimate of the $\alpha$ parameter, and does not show an improvement in fit relative to the scaled Brownian motion model.

```r
> IOU_model<-lmeBM(sqrtcd4~t, data=cd4, random=~t|newpid,
+    covariance=covIOU(form="t|newpid), method="ML",
+    control=list(opt="optim"))
> IOU_model

Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood
Data: cd4
Log-likelihood: -3421.164
Fixed: sqrtcd4 ~ t
  (Intercept)  t
  30.721825 -5.490878
Random effects:
  Formula: ~t | newpid
  Structure: General positive-definite, Log-Cholesky parametrization
    StdDev  Corr
(Intercept)  12.655067 (Intr)
    t 2.879292 -0.877
Residual  4.886538

Stochastic process component: covIOU
Formula: \( t \sim \text{newpid} \)

Parameter estimate(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>23,758.19550</td>
<td>24.62635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Observations: 976
Number of Groups: 226

\[
> \text{anova(BM\_model, IOU\_model)}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>logLik</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>L.Ratio</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM_model</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,856.552</td>
<td>6,890.736</td>
<td>-3,421.276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOU_model</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,858.327</td>
<td>6,897.395</td>
<td>-3,421.164</td>
<td>1 vs 2</td>
<td>0.2243718</td>
<td>0.6357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 \text{nlmeBM} function

The \text{nlmeBM} function is a wrapper for the \text{nlme.formula} function from the \text{nlme} package. As for \text{lmeBM}, \text{nlmeBM} allows Brownian motion or fractional Brownian motion components to be added to a non-linear mixed effects model.

As an illustrative example, we consider the \text{Milk} dataset available in the \text{nlme} package. This dataset is discussed in Chapter 5 of Diggle et al.\(^{10}\) and contains measurements of the protein concentration of the milk of a number of cows assessed weekly following calving. The cows are divided into groups according to diet, but we ignore this for the sake of simplicity. We fit an asymptotic regression function, using \text{SSasym} from \text{nlme}, with three fixed effects parameters: \text{Asym} representing the horizontal asymptote for large values of the time variable, \text{R0} representing the response at time zero and \text{lrc} representing the natural logarithm of the rate constant (see Pinheiro and Bates\(^5\) for further details). We consider an initial model with independent errors of constant variance and a second model with correlated errors following a continuous autoregressive process, both fit using the \text{nlme} function. Thirdly, we consider a model including a fractional Brownian motion process within each cow in addition to independent residual errors, using the \text{covariance=covFracBM} argument for \text{nlmeBM}. A subject-specific ‘random effect’ is assigned to the asymptote parameter in each of the models.

\[
> \text{Model\_1<-nlme(protein ~ SSasym(Time, Asym, R0, lrc), data=Milk,}
+ \text{+ fixed = Asym + R0 + lrc ~ 1, random = Asym ~ 1|Cow,}
+ \text{+ start = c(Asym = 3.5, R0 = 4, lrc = -1))}
\]

\[
> \text{Model\_2<-nlme(protein ~ SSasym(Time, Asym, R0, lrc), data=Milk,}
+ \text{+ fixed = Asym + R0 + lrc ~ 1, random = Asym ~ 1|Cow,}
+ \text{+ correlation=corCAR1(form=~Time|Cow),}
+ \text{+ start = c(Asym = 3.5, R0 = 4, lrc = 0))}
\]

\[
> \text{Model\_3<-nlmeBM(protein ~ SSasym(Time, Asym, R0, lrc), data=Milk,}
+ \text{+ fixed = Asym + R0 + lrc ~ 1, random = Asym ~ 1|Cow,}
+ \text{+ covariance=covFracBM(form=~Time|Cow),}
+ \text{+ start = c(Asym = 3.5, R0 = 4, lrc = -1))}
\]

\[
> \text{AIC(Model\_1)}
\]

301.4711

\[
> \text{AIC(Model\_2)}
\]

-18.96245

\[
> \text{AIC(Model\_3)}
\]

-23.20265

\[
> \text{Model\_3}
\]
Nonlinear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood

Model: protein ~ SSasymp(Time, Asym, R0, lrc)
Data: Milk
Log-likelihood: 18.60133
Fixed: Asym + R0 + lrc ~ 1
   Asym       R0       lrc
3.3489469   4.7281304 0.0381144

Random effects:
Formula: Asym ~ 1 | Cow
   Asym    Residual
StdDev: 2.281751e-07 0.0001115028

Stochastic process component: covFracBM
Formula: ~Time | Cow
Parameter estimate(s):
   Kappa       Hurst index
0.07054056   0.16214435

Number of Observations: 1337
Number of Groups: 79

On the basis of the AIC values, the model including the fractional Brownian motion component provides the best fit to the data of those considered here.

References


