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pGMGM-package  Estimating multiple Gaussian graphical models (GGM) in penalized Gaussian mixture models (GMM).
Description

This is an R and C code implementation of the New-SP and New-JGL method of Gao et al. (2016) (http://projecteuclid.org/download/pdfview_1/euclid.ejs/1462192266) to perform model-based clustering and multiple graph estimation.
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jglfit

Example data set for generating simulated data sets.

Description

This is the output of applying New-JGL to the gene expression data set used in Gao et al. (2016).

Usage

data(jglfit)
**Format**

A data frame with 0 observations on the following 2 variables.

- **x** a numeric vector
- **y** a numeric vector

**Value**

A list with the following elements:

- **pie** A vector of the mixing proportion of each component in the model.
- **mu** A matrix that contains the mean of each component in the model in each row.
- **covinv** A list that contains the precision matrices for each component in the model.
- **membership** The class assignment for each observation.
- **par** The optimal value of lambda_1 and lambda_2.

**Examples**

```r
# load data set
data(jglfit)

# mixing proportion
jglfit$pie

# dimension of an estimated precision matrix
dim(jglfit$covinv[[1]])
```

---

**Description**

Fit the Gaussian mixture model using either New-SP or New-JGL method. Sparsity and fusion penalty are imposed on the component-specific precision matrices with either non-convex (New-SP) or convex (New-JGL) function. The output contains the class assignment, the mixing proportion, the means and the component-specific precision matrices and the optimal tuning parameter (if a vector of tuning parameter is supplied).
Usage

\texttt{pGMM(y, k, method, lambda1, lambda2, ncluster, tau=0.01, threshold=1e-5,}
\texttt{ MAX_iter=100, seed=1)}

Arguments

- \texttt{Y} \hspace{1cm} The input data as an \( n \) by \( p \) matrix, where \( n \) is the number of observations and \( p \) is the number of variables.
- \texttt{k} \hspace{1cm} The fold for cross-validation. Default is 5.
- \texttt{method} \hspace{1cm} Either "New-SP" or "New-JGL". "New-SP" uses the non-convex truncated lasso penalty (TLP). "New-JGL" uses the convex lasso penalty. Default is "New-SP".
- \texttt{lambda1} \hspace{1cm} Tuning parameter for the sparsity penalty, either a single value or a vector. If the input is a vector, cross-validation is applied to select the optimal value.
- \texttt{lambda2} \hspace{1cm} Tuning parameter for the fusion penalty, either a single value or a vector. If the input is a vector, cross-validation is applied to select the optimal value.
- \texttt{ncluster} \hspace{1cm} Number of components in the Gaussian mixture.
- \texttt{tau} \hspace{1cm} The tuning parameter in the non-convex penalty function. Default is 0.01.
- \texttt{threshold} \hspace{1cm} Threshold for convergence. Default is 1e-5.
- \texttt{MAX_iter} \hspace{1cm} Maximum number of iterations. Default is 100.
- \texttt{seed} \hspace{1cm} The seed used when splitting data for cross-validation. Default is 1.

Details

It aims to estimate multiple networks in the presence of sample heterogeneity, where the independent samples (i.e. observations) may come from different and unknown populations or distributions. Specifically, we consider penalized estimation of multiple precision matrices in the framework of a Gaussian mixture model. A major innovation is to take advantage of the commonalities across the multiple precision matrices through possibly nonconvex fusion regularization, which for example makes it possible to achieve simultaneous discovery of unknown disease subtypes and detection of differential gene (dys)regulations in functional genomics. We embed in the EM algorithm one of two recently proposed methods for estimating multiple precision matrices in Gaussian graphical models: one is based on the convex Lasso penalty (Danaher et al., 2014), and the other is based on the con-convex TLP penalty (Zhu et al., 2014); the former is faster, while the latter is less biased and will perform better with a relatively larger sample size.

The majority of the existing literature on mixture modeling focus on regularizing only the mean parameters with diagonal covariance matrices, though some have started considering regularization of the covariance parameters too, all of which, however, do not touch on the key issue of identifying both common and varying substructures of the precision matrices across the components of a mixture model. Since these methods always give different networks for different components unless a common network is assumed, they do not address the question of interest here: which parts of the networks change with the components. Since a fusion penalty is used to shrink multiple networks towards each other, the proposed methods not only are statistically more efficient with information borrowing, but also facilitate interpretation in identifying differential network substructures. In particular, due to the use of a non-convex penalty, the adopted method of Zhu et al. (2014) strives to uncover the commonalities among multiple networks while maintaining their unique substructures too.
Value

pie A vector of the mixing proportion of each component in the model.

mu A matrix that contains the mean of each component in the model in each row.

covinv A list that contains the precision matrices for each component in the model.

membership The class assignment for each observation.

par The optimal value of lambda_1 and lambda_2.
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Examples

data(jglfit)

# simulate data

set.seed(12345)

Y1 = rmvnorm(1*sum(jglfit$membership==1), jglfit$mu[1,], solve(round(jglfit$covinv[[1]], digits=3)))
Y2 = rmvnorm(1*sum(jglfit$membership==2), jglfit$mu[2,], solve(round(jglfit$covinv[[2]], digits=3)))
Y3 = rmvnorm(1*sum(jglfit$membership==3), jglfit$mu[3,], solve(round(jglfit$covinv[[3]], digits=3)))
Y4 = rmvnorm(1*sum(jglfit$membership==4), jglfit$mu[4,], solve(round(jglfit$covinv[[4]], digits=3)))

Y=rbind(Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4)
p=ncol(Y)
# test

test = pGMM(Y, k=2, method="New-SP", lambda1=1, lambda2=1, ncluster=4)
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